

PO Box 175
Chesterfield, NH 03443



603-363-4624
www.nhchesterfield.com

**TOWN OF CHESTERFIELD, NH
ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
June 19, 2025**

Notice of Decision

You are hereby notified that at its Thursday June 19, 2025 Zoning Board of Adjustment meeting the Board discussed the application of MTG Holdings requesting a variance from the Chesterfield Zoning Ordinance Article IV, Section 401.2C to permit the allowance of a 45sf. double sided, freestanding sign. The property is located at 564 US Route 9, W. Chesterfield, NH 03466 (Tax Map 13, Block G, Lot 2).

A motion was made to deny the variance for 564 US RT 9, West Chesterfield.


The variance is contrary to the public interest because planning and zoning ordinances have established 32 square feet as the reasonable sign size to keep in line with the rural character of Chesterfield.

The variance is contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance because planning and zoning ordinances have established 32 square feet as the reasonable sign size to keep in line with the rural character of Chesterfield.

Substantial justice is not done because planning and zoning ordinances have established 32 square feet as the reasonable sign size to keep in line with the rural character of Chesterfield. Literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship: there is no special condition of this property which would cause it to not comply to the zoning ordinance.

The motion was seconded.

The vote was called: (5) Yes. The motion passed.



Joe Hanzalik
Chairman
Zoning Board

Date: 6/26/2025

Any person affected has a right to appeal this decision. If you wish to appeal, you must act within thirty days of the date of this decision. The necessary first step before any appeal may be taken to the courts, is to apply to the Board of Adjustment for a rehearing. The motion for rehearing must set forth all the grounds on which you will base your appeal.

See New Hampshire Statutes, RSA Chapter 677

Note: Variance is based on oral and written intentions (including maps, plans, and written statements) and assurances of applicants and/or it's representative and must be implemented in a way which adheres to those intentions and assurances. "The scope of a variance is dependent upon the representations of the applicant and the intent of the language of the variance at the time it is issues".

Town of Rye v. Ciborowski, III N.H. 77 (1971)

A site plan review may be required of the Chesterfield Planning Board, as a result of this decision